

Sara Carruthers

OHIO GUN OWNERS

Ohio's No Compromise Grassroots Gun Lobby

2022 Gun Rights Pre-Primary Candidate Survey

	•	_					
*	2	74			*		
Anti-gun	organizations	often funded v	with out-of-state r	ecources fr	om Michael	Rloomhera	har

Anti-gun organizations, often funded with out-of-state resources from Michael Bloomberg, have been clamoring for legislation to end all private party firearms transfers in Ohio unless they submit to a federal NCIC check, thereby adding themselves and the firearm to a federal list. As we've seen, this legislation does virtually nothing to stop crime but expands statewide databases of gun owners – which can be used against gun owners later.

1. If elected, would you OPPOSE and vote NO on "Universal Gun Registration" or similar legislation that would ban all private party sales, make criminals out of Ohio gun owners who want to pass on family firearms to their children and grandchildren – and create or expand the centralized database of gun owners at the same time?

Yes	No

"Extreme Risk Protection Orders," commonly referred to as 'Red Flag Orders,' would allow virtually anyone to petition a court and make the claim, with little evidence or substantiation, that a person is a "danger to themselves or others." Under "Red Flag Orders" or an expansion of Ohio's "Pink-Slip" laws, liberal judges could, possibly even through *ex parte* hearings and with no due process of law, issue a "Red Flag" order or "Pink-Slip" order, stripping a law-abiding gun owner, who has never even been arrested, indicted or convicted of a crime, of their Right to Keep and Bear Arms.

2. If elected, would you OPPOSE and vote NO on 'Red Flag Orders,' expanding Ohio's 'Pink-Slip' laws and similar legislation that would deny law abiding Ohio citizens their gun rights without due process and without ever having been convicted of a crime?

Yes	No

Following the Dayton, OH shooting in 2019, anti-gun lawmakers, the media and Bloomberg funded activists have been screaming for a complete ban of the AR-15 (and standard 30-round magazines) and hundreds of related firearms all because of a variety of cosmetic features. Legislation has been introduced to ban these firearms in Washington, D.C., and lawmakers here in Ohio have introduced similar legislation. This, despite the fact that all semi-automatic firearms function essentially the same way.

3. If elected, would you OPPOSE and vote NO on legislation banning the manufacture, sale or possession of semi-automatic firearms and/or large-capacity magazines, recognizing that these restrictions do nothing to stop crime but only serve to harass gun owners?

Yes No					
Various state legislatures have already passed legislation that would ban all gun rights from American citizens between the ages of 18-20. In addition, many lawmakers at the state and federal level are publicly calling for this legislation to be enacted at the state level nationwide! This, despite the fact that these are law abiding citizens that can serve in the military, pay taxes, get married, have careers and enjoy every other aspect of being a legal adult.					
1. If elected, would you OPPOSE and vote NO on legislation that would leave American citizens between the ages of 18-20 defenseless and unable to buy a firearm for self-defense?					
Yes No					
Yes No					
Gun control activists have long desired a mandatory 3-day waiting period before law abiding citizens would be able to exercise their 2nd Amendment freedoms and purchase a firearm for self-defense. This, despite the fact that the madmen in some of the worst mass shootings in America, like the one at Virginia Tech or the nightclub in Florida, purchased their guns AFTER submitting to these waiting periods. Of course, these waiting periods do nothing to stop violent crime and only leave law abiding citizens vulnerable during times where they need a firearm the most.					
2. If elected, would you OPPOSE and vote NO on legislation that would enact unconstitutional waiting periods, limiting when a law-abiding citizen in Ohio could purchase a firearm for self-defense?					
Yes No					
Most mass shootings happen in so-called "Gun-Free Zones" where law-abiding citizens are forced to disarm, which quite literally has resulted in violent criminals targeting these sites. This prevents otherwise law-abiding citizens from defending themselves, their loved ones and innocent citizens around them.					
3. If elected, would you support and vote YES on legislation to eliminate restrictions on law-abiding gun owners from carrying a firearm in certain so-called "Gun-Free Zones," otherwise known as "Criminal Safe Zones?"					
Sponsor Cosponsor_ V No					
Constitutional Carry recognizes that every law-abiding citizen has the right to carry a gun, openly or concealed, for any reason they want to, except to commit a crime. Thus, with no government bureaucracy or license, Ohio citizens could defend themselves, and criminals who use firearms to commit crimes are severely punished. This is the law in twenty-one states, including our neighbors in West Virginia and Kentucky. If passed in Ohio, this law will allow thousands of people the right to carry any firearm they can lawfully own for any lawful purpose with no additional paperwork or fees.					
4. Would you support and vote yes on Constitutional Carry legislation, which would allow all law-abiding Ohioans the right to carry any lawfully owned weapon, either concealed or openly, without having to first obtain government permission and be added to a list, as is done in several other states?					

Sponsor_

Ohio removed its unjust "duty to retreat" law in the 133rd General Assembly, but much work remains to overhaul Ohio's self-defense laws. True "Stand-Your-Ground" law enhancements would provide an enactment clause, establishes pre-trial immunity hearings, codifies a lawful citizen's ability to "threaten" the use of reasonable force, and states that you can't be civilly sued or criminally charged if a burden of proof cannot be met. This would drastically reduce the opportunity for an innocent citizen to be targeted by politically motivated prosecutors or judges, as Kyle Rittenhouse experienced.

10 00 1	argeted by politically motiva	ited prosecutors of ju-	ages, as	s Ryle Rittelmouse experienced.	
1.	laws by codifying an enac force and robust immunit	tment clause, pre-tr y for those forced to	ial imn use re	would enhance Ohio's self-defer nunity hearings, threatened use easonable deadly force in self-d	e of
	Sponsor	Cosponsor_	√	No	
more s and m transpe could	strict than state law. This is in unicipalities from enacting la ortation, registration, or licer	n direct violation of C aws regarding the own using of firearms that aws regarding concea	Ohio's p nership are stri led carr	ting to enact their own gun laws to preemption statute, which forbids of possession, legal transfer, lawfuncter than state law. Unchecked, ory, resulting in law abiding Ohioace with state law.	s cities ul Ohio
2.	law, which ensures no localaw, by allowing victims to clear end to the city-by-cir	al government body o file civil action aga ty abuse that Ohioa	can pa inst vi ns are o		an state
	Sponsor	Cosponsor_		No	
				nd Amendment" but not all will ly when "compromises" are offer	
_ 3.	media calls "reasonable," simple misdemeanor conv minimum age of those wh ways to track guns and gu	such as bans on "gl victions from owning to carry guns for sel	host gu g and c f-defen	control, including those that the ens," laws that disallow those we arrying guns, increasing the ease, new taxes on ammunition, a smade firearms and the use of p	rith new
	braces?				
		Yes	No _		
states by for	in an attempt to protect the 2 bidding federal government:	e nd Amendment and s from "commandeerin	elf-defe g" state	g 10 th Amendment legislation in ense rights of their citizens. This e and local law-enforcement resonconstitutional executive orders.	is done
4.	Ohio, which renders unco executive orders unenforce	onstitutional federal ceable by the state o	gun co f Ohio	nt Preservation Act legislation ontrol laws and unconstitutiona and Ohio law enforcement, with as Missouri passed in 2021?	al
	Sponsor	Cosponsor		No	

Mental health has become a back door for gun control by expanding the criteria for voluntary and involuntary commitments for "treatment," thus adding more and more people to the NCIC 'prohibited persons' list, often without due process by a court of law. Once a person's name is placed on this list, it is virtually impossible to have it removed.

1. Would you oppose all so-called "mental health" legislation that would deny law abiding

Ohioans their gu	ın rights without due p	rocess through a court of	f law?
	Yes	No	-
I hereby submit the answ issues as I have indicated Signature Sara Carruthers		Sara Carruthers	ed, I will vote on these
District # Who has	Office of	Party	Republican

Unanswered questions will be considered as opposition to the rights of gun owners.

Feel free to attach additional comments to this survey, but know that we cannot publish them. If you have any questions about this survey or need clarification on any question please email us at director@ohiogunowners.org.

Unsigned surveys cannot be accepted for publication. Please note that your responses are not considered official until the signed copies are returned to us via postal mail. Please use the included return envelope for your convenience. To allow us to prepare to distribute your results while your signed copy is enroute, you may scan and email your completed survey to director@ohiogunowners.org.

Please Note: The deadline for receipt of your signed, completed survey is 5pm on March 25, 2022.